arXiv:1208.2045v1 [math.AP] 9 Aug 2012

ADDENDUM TO "LOWER BOUNDS ON THE HAUSDORFF MEASURE OF NODAL SETS"

CHRISTOPHER D. SOGGE AND STEVE ZELDITCH

Abstract. We give a very short argument showing how the main identity (2) from our earlier paper [9] immediately leads to the best lower bound currently known [2] for the Hausdorff measure of nodal sets in dimensions $n \ge 3$.

Let (M, g) be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension n and let e_λ be real-valued eigenfunction of the associated Laplacian, i.e.,

$$-\Delta_g e_\lambda(x) = \lambda^2 e_\lambda(x)$$

with frequency $\lambda > 0$. Recent papers have been concerned with lower bounds for the $(n-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure, $|Z_\lambda|$, of the nodal set of e_λ,

$$Z_\lambda = \{x \in M : \, e_\lambda(x) = 0\}$$

in dimensions $n \ge 3$. The sharp lower bound by the frequency, $\lambda \lesssim |Z_\lambda|$, was obtained by Brüning in [1] and independently by Yau, and, in the analytic case, the sharp upper and lower bounds $|Z_\lambda| \approx \lambda$ were obtained by Donnelly and Fefferman [4], [5].

Until recently, the best known lower bound when $n \ge 3$ seems to have been $e^{-c\lambda} \lesssim |Z_\lambda|$ (see [6]). Using a variation (2) of an identity of Dong [3], the authors showed in [9] that this can be improved to be $\lambda^{\frac{7}{4}-\frac{3n}{4}} \lesssim |Z_\lambda|$. Independently Colding and Minicozzi [2] obtained the more favorable lower bound

$$\lambda^{1-\frac{n-1}{2}} \lesssim |Z_\lambda| \tag{1}$$

by a different method. Subsequently, the first author and Hezari [7] were also able to obtain the lower bound (1) by an argument which was in the spirit of [9]. The purpose of this addendum to [9] is to show that the lower bound (1) can also be derived by a very small modification (indeed a simplicification) of the original argument of [9].

The lower bounds of [9, 7] are based on the identity

$$\lambda^2 \int_M |e_\lambda| \, dV = \int_{Z_\lambda} |\nabla_g e_\lambda|_g \, dS, \tag{2}$$

from [9] and the (sharp) lower bound for L^1-norms

$$\lambda^{-\frac{n-1}{4}} \lesssim \int_M |e_\lambda| \, dV, \tag{3}$$

which was also established in [9].

The authors were supported in part by the NSF.

The lower bound (1) is a very simple consequence of the identity (2) and the following lemma (which was implicit in [9]).

Lemma 1. *If* $\lambda > 0$ *then* $\|\nabla_g e_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{1+\frac{n-1}{2}} \|e_\lambda\|_{L^1(M)}$.

Indeed if we use (2) and then apply Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \textstyle\int_M |e_\lambda|\, dV = 2\int_{Z_\lambda} |\nabla_g e_\lambda|_g\, dS &\leq 2|Z_\lambda|\, \|\nabla_g e_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(M)} \\ &\lesssim 2|Z_\lambda|\, \lambda^{1+\frac{n-1}{2}} \|e_\lambda\|_{L^1(M)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

which of course implies (1).

Lemma 1 improves the upper bound on the integral given in Lemma 1 of [9], and its proof is almost the same as the proof of (3) in Proposition 2 of [9]:

Proof. We first note that if $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\int \rho\, dt = 1$ then $\chi_\lambda e_\lambda = e_\lambda$ if

$$\chi_\lambda f = \int \rho(t) e^{-it\lambda} e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta_g}} f\, dt.$$

If we assume further that $\rho(t) = 0$ for $t \notin [\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon]$ it is very easy to compute the kernel $K_\lambda(x,y)$ of χ_λ if ε is sufficiently small and fixed. Indeed, by Lemma 5.1.3 in [8] it satisfies

$$|\nabla_g K_\lambda(x,y)| \leq C\lambda^{1+\frac{n-1}{2}}. \tag{5}$$

Thus $\|\nabla_g \chi_\lambda f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\lambda^{1+\frac{n-1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^1}$, which implies the lemma.

□

We briefly compare the proof of (1) in this addendum with the estimates in [9]:

- Instead of Lemma 1, the estimate $\|\nabla_g e\|_{L^\infty(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{1+\frac{n-1}{2}}$ was used in [9]. The latter estimate is a consequence of the pointwise local Weyl law for $|\nabla e_\lambda(x)|^2$. The estimate (5) improves the local Weyl law estimate by the factor of $\|e_\lambda\|_{L^1(M)}$.
- In [9] the authors proved the lower bounds (3) by showing that

  $$\|e_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \|e_\lambda\|_{L^1(M)},$$

  by essentially the same argument as in Lemma 1. In the proof given in this note, (3) is not used in the proof of (1) since the factor $\|e_\lambda\|_{L^1(M)}$ cancels out in the left and right sides.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Brüning, *Über Knoten Eigenfunktionen des Laplace-Beltrami Operators*, Math. Z. **158** (1978), 15–21.
[2] T. H. Colding and W. P. Minicozzi II: *Lower bounds for nodal sets of eigenfunctions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **306** (2011), 777–784.
[3] R. T. Dong: *Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemann surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **36** (1992), 493–506.
[4] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman: *Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 161–183.
[5] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman: *Nodal sets for eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on surfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 333–353.

[6] Q. Han and F. H. Lin, *Nodal sets of solutions of Elliptic Differential Equations*, book in preparation (online at http://www.nd.edu/qhan/nodal.pdf).

[7] H. Hezari and C. D. Sogge: *A natural lower bound for the size of nodal sets*, arXiv:1107.3440, to appear in Analysis and PDE.

[8] C. D. Sogge: *Fourier integrals in classical analysis*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 105, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[9] C. D. Sogge and S. Zelditch: *Lower bounds on the Hausdorff measure of nodal sets*, Math. Res. Lett. **18** (2011), 2537.

Department of Mathematics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD

Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL